

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,418	10/751,418 01/06/2004		Yoji Tanaka	0051-0216P	3183	
2292	7590	03/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		RT KOLASCH & BI	LEE, EDA	Lee, edmund h		
PO BOX FALLS C		VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1732		
				DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		n				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action O. name	10/751,418	TANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No. <u>09/821,074</u> . ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/6/04.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/751,418 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the word "source" appears to be wrong. It should be --sauce--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The disclosure is objected to because there are numerous idiomatic errors such as the word "source" (pg 1, ln 6) appears to be wrong.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "inserting... of the tube" (cl 1, lns 11-16) is confusing because it is idiomatically incorrect.

Correction is required.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sutch (USPN 3836063) in view of JP 2000016453. Sutch teaches the basic claimed process including a method of manufacturing a plastic container comprising a tube of a plastic laminate, a bottom wall formed so as to be joined integrally to an inner circumference of a lower end part of the tube, a hoop formed so as to be joined integrally to an outer circumference of an upper end part of the tube (col 1, In 50-col 2, In 57; figs 1-2);

forming the tube by rolling a plastic laminate in a tubular shape (col 1, In 50-col 2, In 57; figs 1-2); putting the tube on a mandrel (col 1, In 50-col 2, In 57; figs 1-2); inserting the mandrel holding the tube into a mold so that a first molding cavity connected to a runner is formed in the mold to form the bottom wall and a second molding cavity connected to a runner is formed in the mold to form the hoop (col 1, In 50-col 2, In 57; figs 1-2); and injecting a molten resin through the runners into the first and second cavity by an insert injection means (col 1, In 50-col 2, In 57; figs 1-2). Sutch, however, does not teach bonding a top cover with a spout to an upper end surface of the hoop. JP 2000016453 teaches a top cover having a spout that is bonded to an open end of a container. Sutch and JP 2000016453 are combinable because they are analogous with respect to containers. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to bond the top cover with a spout of JP 2000016453 to the open-end surface of the hoop of Sutch in order to form a container having a spout.

Page 3

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 11189276 A in view of JP 2000016453. JP 11189276 A teaches the basic claimed process including a method of manufacturing a plastic container comprising a tube of a plastic laminate, a bottom wall formed so as to be joined integrally to an inner circumference of a lower end part of the tube, a hoop formed so as to be joined integrally to an outer circumference of an upper end part of the tube (abstract; figs 1-5); forming the tube by rolling a plastic laminate in a tubular shape (abstract; figs 1-5); putting the tube on a mandrel (abstract; figs 1-5); inserting the mandrel holding the tube into a mold so that a first molding cavity connected to a runner is formed in the mold to

Application/Control Number: 10/751,418

Art Unit: 1732

form the bottom wall and a second molding cavity connected to a runner is formed in the mold to form the hoop (abstract; figs 1-5); and injecting a molten resin through the runners into the first and second cavity by an insert injection means (abstract; figs 1-5). JP 11189276 A, however, does not teach bonding a top cover with a spout to an upper end surface of the hoop. JP 2000016453 teaches a top cover having a spout that is bonded to an open end of a container. JP 11189276 A and JP 2000016453 are combinable because they are analogous with respect to containers. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to bond the top cover with a spout of JP 2000016453 to the open-end surface of the hoop of JP 11189276 A in order to form a container having a spout.

Page 4

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents illustrate the state of the art: USPN 4769095; USPN 4258529; USPN 6185906; and JP 1-171843 A.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571.272.1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,418 Page 5

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

7 Cm (30) 3/16/05

EHL